The Wonderfully Paradoxical World of Adam Smith

Steven Pressman (pressman@monmouth.edu)

- Something about Smith & His World
- Standard View of Smith & Its Problems
- Smith on Wages
- Smith on Usury & Bentham's Critique
- Summary & Conclusion

Some Facts about Smith

- -- Born in Kirkaldy, near Edinburgh, June 16, 1723
- -- Bumbling & Absent-Minded (Heilbroner, WP)
- -- Studied Moral Philosophy, Maths & PE at Glasgow, & then Oxford
- Chair of Logic at Glasgow (1751) & Chair of Moral Philosophy, starting 1752
- -- Main Works: TMS (1759) & WN (1776)
- -- Charles Townsend, after reading TMS, got Smith to tutor his stepson, the Duke of Buccleuch

The World During Smith's Life

- (1) Almost No Economic Growth
- (2) Little or No Inter-Generational Mobility
- (3) Bad Harvests Led to Starvation for Many
- (4) Most Babies Died before Age 5
- (5) Survivors were Stunted, Illiterate & Sickly
- (6) High Poverty & Disability (Inability to Work)
- (7) Very Beginning of Capitalism

What Do You Know About or Think about Adam Smith as an Economist?

The Popular View of Adam Smith

Free market or "invisible hand" would solve all economic problems

Policy Solution was laissez-faire-- keep the government out of the market and people's business

This would lead to improvements in standard of living and greater freedom for all

Smith on the Role of Government

Opposed monopoly & mercantilist trade restrictions

Bk V.1 focuses on public policies to combat poverty & illiteracy Supported <u>higher wages</u> and greater equality Bk V.2 supports taxing rents, the wealthy and progressive taxation Opposed forced labor- apprenticeships, slavery, sharecropping (Bk III.2) He supported public regulation of paper money (Bk II.2) He supported public health measures by the government (272) Supported requirement party walls to prevent spread of fire (307) **Supported ceilings on interest rates**

Smith on Wages

Smith Cared about Poor & Average Worker WN focused on Functional Distribution of Income Market Price & Natural Price; 3 theories of income **Natural Wage is Subsistence and Gravitational Force Problem- How Does Division of Labor Increase Wealth? Solution- Natural Wage is Socially Determined** This doesn't really solve the problem

Smith on Usury

- Middle Ages-- charging interest prohibited
- Protestant Revolution-- limits on interest?
- Lectures on Jurisprudence (1763, p. 220) makes case in terms of natural & market interest rates
- Smith supported interest rate limits ("around 5%")
- Greater than natural price
- Would allow lending to poor without long-run harm from debt

Bentham on Usury

- Bentham (Defense of Usury, 1787) criticized Smith
- (1) Black Markets
- (2) Failure to Lend Hurts the Poor
- (3) Businesses (Source of Economic Growth) Would Be Hurt
- (4) Crime Would Rise
- (5) Poor Know Their Interests Better than Politicians
- (6) People Would Distrust of Government & Laws

Doesn't Seem Smith was Convinced

Summary and Conclusion

Smith was not the free market economist he is usually made out to be.

He supported higher wages, but didn't see how to achieve this. Division of labor doesn't do it. Nor does a social subsistence wage.

His support of interest rate ceilings offers a solution. Despite Bentham, Smith unconvinced that markets should determine the price of money.

Parallel case for labor-- government support of minimum wages, labor unions & child allowances.

Thank you for paying attention and putting up with me!!



